

1 JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 ALVIN J. KOROBKIN,  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 110 West A Street, Suite 700  
San Diego, California 92101  
4 Telephone: (619) 237-7509  
5 Attorneys for Complainant  
6

7 BEFORE THE  
8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY  
9 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE  
10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13	In the Matter of the Accusation	)	NO. D-3129
14	Against:	)	
	RICHARD HENRY COX, M.D.	)	STIPULATION AND VOLUNTARY
15	930 Morning Sun Drive	)	SURRENDER OF PHYSICIAN'S
	Olivenhain, California 92024	)	AND SURGEON'S CERTIFICATE
16		)	
	Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
17	Certificate No. A-38044	)	
		)	
18	Respondent.	)	
19	<hr/>		

20 1. This stipulation is in compromise and settlement  
21 of all disputed claims and, with the exception of the admissions  
22 specified in paragraphs 6 A-J, which admissions are only  
23 applicable and admissible in this stipulation and in any  
24 subsequent hearing in California upon re-application for a  
25 physician's and surgeon's certificate, all provisions of this  
26 settlement agreement shall not be considered as an admission of  
27 liability or wrongdoing on behalf of respondent, nor does

1 respondent admit to any liability or wrongdoing in the compromise  
2 and settlement of this case.

3                   2. Respondent hereby agrees to voluntarily surrender  
4   Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A-38044 and under-  
5   stands that by surrendering the certificate he loses all rights  
6   and privileges to practice medicine in the State of California.

7                   3. Respondent hereby agrees that if he ever reapplies  
8 for a Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate in California the  
9 facts and allegations admitted in paragraphs 6 A through J shall  
10 be deemed admitted in any subsequent hearing on such application,  
11 and that respondent shall receive no credits for medical  
12 education based upon the degrees, courses or training referred  
13 to in the facts and allegations admitted herein.

14                   4. Respondent agrees to waive and surrender  
15 permanently any cause of action, legal right to sue, and/or  
16 claim for damages of any kind whatsoever, for conduct of the  
17 Board, or any agent, employee or representative thereof, from  
18 the date respondent submitted his application to the Board until  
19 the date this stipulation and voluntary surrender is accepted by  
20 the Board of Medical Quality Assurance.

21           5. Upon acceptance of this stipulation by the Board,  
22     the matter No. D-3129 shall be dismissed.

23                   6. Respondent in compromise and settlement of all  
24   claims admits each and every fact and allegation contained in  
25   paragraphs A through J pursuant to the terms in paragraphs 1 and  
26   3.

27

/

A. Section 2234 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as "Code") provides in pertinent part:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

11

"(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."

B. Section 2235 of the Code provides:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall initiate action against any licensee who obtains a certificate by fraud or misrepresentation, including a reciprocity certificate which is based upon a certificate or license obtained by fraud or mistake. The Division shall take action against any licensee whose certificate was issued by mistake.

1

✓

1 C. Section 480 of the Code provides in pertinent  
2 part:

3 "(a) A board may deny a license  
4 regulated by this code on the grounds that  
5 the applicant has one of the following:

6 ". . . . .

7 "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty,  
8 fraud, or deceit with the intent to  
9 substantially benefit himself or another, or  
10 substantially injure another;

11 ". . . . .

12 "(c) A board may deny a license  
13 regulated by this code on the ground that the  
14 applicant knowingly made a false statement of  
15 fact required to be revealed in the  
16 application for such license.

17 D. On or about November 30, 1981, respondent  
18 submitted to the Division of Licensing of the Board of Medical  
19 Quality Assurance an application for licensure as a graduate of  
20 a foreign medical school.

21 E. Respondent's certificate was issued pursuant to  
22 sections 2100 et seq. of the Code (Article 5. Foreign Medical  
23 Graduates).

24 F. Section 2102 of the Code provides in pertinent  
25 part:

26 "Any applicant who . . . is a United  
27 States citizen . . . whose professional

1 instruction was acquired in a country other  
2 than the United States or Canada shall provide  
3 evidence satisfactory to the Division of  
4 Licensing of compliance with the following  
5 requirements in order to be issued a  
6 physician's and surgeon's certificate:

7 "(a) Completion in a medical school or  
8 schools of a resident course of professional  
9 instruction equivalent to that required by  
10 Section 2089 and issuance to such applicant  
11 of a document acceptable to the division  
12 which shows final and successful completion  
13 of the course.

14 ". . . . .

15 "Nothing in this section shall prohibit  
16 the division from disapproving any foreign  
17 school or from denying an application it, in  
18 the opinion of the division, the professional  
19 instruction provided by the medical school or  
20 the instruction received by the applicant is  
21 not equivalent to that required in Article 4  
22 (commencing with Section 2080).

23 G. Section 2036 of the Code provides in pertinent  
24 part that a "resident course of instruction" shall be interpreted  
25 to mean classroom, laboratory, practical, and clinical  
26 instruction, received and given the person physically present,

27 /

1 wherever prescribed as part of his or her instruction and for  
2 the period prescribed for such instruction.

3 H. Section 2084 of the Code requires the Division of  
4 Licensing to admit only applicants to the examination who comply  
5 with the requirements for certification as a physician and  
6 surgeon and allows the Division to consider the quality of the  
7 resident courses of professional instruction required for such  
8 certification.

9 I. Section 2089 of the Code provides:

10 "(1) Each applicant for a physician's  
11 and surgeon's certificate shall show by  
12 official transcript or other official evidence  
13 satisfactory to the Division of Licensing that  
14 he or she has successfully completed a medical  
15 curriculum extending over a period of at least  
16 four academic years in a medical school or  
17 schools located in the United States or Canada  
18 approved by the division. The total number  
19 of hours of all courses shall consist of a  
20 minimum of 4,000 hours. At least 80 percent  
21 of actual attendance shall be required.

22 "(2) The curriculum for all applicants  
23 shall provide for adequate instruction in the  
24 following:

25 Anatomy, including embryology,  
26 histology and neuroanatomy.

27 Anesthesia.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

- Biochemistry.
- Child abuse detection and treatment.
- Dermatology.
- Geriatric medicine.
- Human sexuality.
- Medicine, including pediatrics.
- Neurology.
- Opthalmology.
- Otolaryngology.
- Pathology, bacteriology and immunology.
- Pharmacology.
- Physical medicine.
- Physiology.
- Preventive medicine, including nutrition.
- Psychiatry.
- Radiology, including radiation safety.
- Surgery, including radiaton safety.
- Therapeutics.
- Tropical medicine
- Urology.

J. Respondent's certificate is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2235 of the Code, in

/

/

1 conjunction with sections 2102, 2036, 2084 and 2089 of the Code,  
2 in that respondent's certificate was issued by mistake, as  
3 follows:

4 (1) Respondent did not complete in a medical  
5 school or schools a resident course of professional instruction  
6 equivalent to that required by section 2089 of the Code, as set  
7 forth in section 2102(a) of the Code.

8 (2) The foreign medical school (CETEC  
9 University School of Medicine in the Dominican Republic, herein-  
10 after referred to as "CETEC") which issued an M.D. degree to the  
11 respondent granted respondent advanced standing based upon  
12 medical education allegedly received by respondent at the College  
13 of Osteopathic Physicians and Surgeons (hereinafter referred to  
14 as "COPS") in Anaheim, California from 1975-1977 and a degree of  
15 Doctor of Medicine in Surgery (Osteopathic) allegedly obtained  
16 by respondent from said College in 1977. Advanced standing based  
17 upon education received at a school of osteopathic medicine is  
18 unacceptable to the Division of Licensing in that such education  
19 is not equivalent to that required by section 2089 of the Code.

20 (3) The transcript allegedly issued by COPS  
21 and submitted by respondent as part of his application indicates  
22 COPS granted to respondent advanced standing based upon medical  
23 education allegedly received by respondent at a medical school  
24 in Baja, California. Such advanced standing is unacceptable to  
25 the Division of Licensing in that such education was not verified  
26 or substantiated and was not from a medical school approved by  
27 the Division.



1                   (4) The College of Osteopathic Physicians and  
2 Surgeons in Anaheim, California, at which respondent allegedly  
3 received medical education from 1975-1977 and a degree of Doctor  
4 of Medicine and Surgery (Osteopathic) in 1977, did not have valid  
5 authorization or approval as required by Education Code sections  
6 94310 et seq. An injunction prohibiting and terminating COPS  
7 from operating as a private post-secondary educational  
8 institution was issued by the Los Angeles County Superior Court  
9 on November 1, 1982. In addition, said College was not a  
10 medical school located in the United States or Canada which was  
11 approved by the Division of Licensing as set forth in section  
12 2089 of the Code, and was not a school of osteopathic medicine  
13 which was approved by the Board of Osteopathic Examiners.

14                   (5) In his application dated November 30,  
15 1981, and submitted to the Board of Medical Quality Assurance,  
16 respondent indicated he attended CETEC and obtained a medical  
17 education from CETEC, from January 14, 1980, to September 15,  
18 1981. In truth and in fact (1) respondent did not physically  
19 attend CETEC; (2) respondent did not obtain a medical education  
20 from CETEC; (3) respondent did not "apply" to CETEC until on or  
21 about August 1980; and (4) respondent was not admitted to CETEC  
22 until on or about October 1980.

23                   (6) As part of his application, respondent  
24 submitted to the Board of Medical Quality Assurance a Certificate  
25 of Medical Education which purported to be signed by an official  
26 of CETEC stating that respondent enrolled in CETEC on January 14,  
27 1980. In truth and in fact, (1) respondent did not physically

1 attend CETEC; (2) respondent did not obtain a medical education  
2 from CETEC; (3) respondent did not "apply" to CETEC until on or  
3 about August 1980; and (4) respondent was not admitted to CETEC  
4 until on or about October 1980.

5 (7) Said Certificate of Medical Education from  
6 CETEC, states respondent "attended in this institution 6 courses  
7 of lectures of 18 weeks each totalling 72 weeks (2 years)". In  
8 truth and in fact, respondent did not physically attend in said  
9 institution, or in any other approved medical school, 6 courses  
10 of lectures of 18 weeks each totalling 72 weeks (2 years).

11 (8) As part of his application respondent  
12 submitted a transcript from CETEC indicating respondent attended  
13 at CETEC the following medical school courses (which are clinical  
14 courses usually taken in the third and fourth year of medical  
15 school):


16	<u>COURSES</u>	<u>SEMESTERS</u>	<u>COURSE CREDIT HOURS</u>
17	Human Behavior III	4	4
18	Internal Medicine	5	10
19	Pediatrics	6	10
20	Obstetrics & Gynecology	6	10
21	Surgery	7	14
22	Family Medicine	8	10

23 In truth and in fact, respondent did not attend these  
24 classes at CETEC or at any other approved medical school.

25 (9) Respondent knew, or with reasonable  
26 diligence should have known, during the period of time he was  
27 allegedly enrolled at CETEC he was engaged in a post-graduate


1 residency program in Illinois in the specialized area of family  
2 medicine rather than being engaged in a resident course of  
3 professional instruction equivalent to a third or fourth year  
4 pre-graduate medical school program consisting of surgery,  
5 internal medicine, pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology and family  
6 medicine. Such residency training although with knowledge and  
7 approval of CETEC is not acceptable as equivalent to the third  
8 and fourth year pre-graduate medical school curriculum.

9  
10 DATED: 2/21/85


  
RICHARD HENRY COX, M.D.  
Respondent

12 FINLEY, KUMBLE, WAGNER, HEINE,  
13 UNDERBERG MANLEY & CASEY

14  
15 DATED: 3/19/85

  
JAMES LORENZ, Esq.  
Attorney for Respondent

16  
17  
18  
19 DATED: 4/25/85

  
ALVIN J. KOROBKIN  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
Attorney for Complainant

22 This Stipulation and Voluntary Surrender of  
23 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is accepted by the Board  
24 of Medical Quality Assurance and shall become effective on  
25 June 6 ....., 1985.

26  
27 DATED: June 6, 1985.

  
Secretary-Treasurer

1 JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 ALVIN J. KOROBKIN,  
Deputy Attorney General  
3 JANELLE B. DAVIS,  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 110 West A Street, Suite 700  
San Diego, California 92101  
5 Telephone: (619) 237-7770  
6 Attorneys for Complainant  
7

8 BEFORE THE BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE

9 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY

10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
12

13 In the Matter of the Accusation	)	No. D-3129
Against:	)	
14	)	
RICHARD HENRY COX	)	ACCUSATION
15 336 Anjou Drive	)	
Northbrook, Illinois 60062	)	
16	)	
Physician's and Surgeon's	)	
17 Certificate No. A-38044	)	
	)	
18 Respondent.	)	
	)	

---

19  
20 Complainant, Kenneth J. Wagstaff alleges as follows:

21 1. He is the Executive Director of the Board of  
22 Medical Quality Assurance (hereinafter referred to as "Board")  
23 and makes this accusation solely in his official capacity.

24 2. On or about February 22, 1982, Richard Henry Cox  
25 (hereinafter referred to as "respondent") was issued Physician's  
26 and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-38044 by the Board of Medical  
27 Quality Assurance.

1           3. Section 2234 of the Business and Professions Code  
2 (hereinafter referred to as "Code") provides in pertinent part:

3           "The Division of Medical Quality shall take  
4 action against any licensee who is charged with  
5 unprofessional conduct. In addition to other  
6 provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct  
7 includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- 8           ". . . . .  
9           "(e) The commission of any act involving  
10 dishonesty or corruption which is substan-  
11 tially related to the qualifications,  
12 functions, or duties a physician and surgeon.  
13           "(f) Any action or conduct which would have  
14 warranted the denial of a certificate."

15           4. Section 2235 of the Code provides:  
16           "The Division of Medical Quality shall initiate  
17 action against any licensee who obtains a certificate  
18 by fraud or misrepresentation, including a recipro-  
19 city certificate which is based upon a certificate or  
20 license obtained by fraud or mistake. The Division  
21 shall take action against any licensee whose certifi-  
22 cate was issued by mistake."

23           5. Section 480 of the Code provides in pertinent  
24 part:

- 25           "(a) A board may deny a license regulated  
26 by this code on the grounds that the applicant has  
27 one of the following:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

" . . . . .  
"(2) Done any act involving dishonesty,  
fraud, or deceit with the intent to substan-  
tially benefit himself or another, or  
substantially injure another;

" . . . . .  
"(c) A board may deny a license regulated  
by this code on the ground that the applicant know-  
ingly made a false statement of fact required to be  
revealed in the application for such license."

6. On or about November 30, 1981, respondent  
submitted to the Division of Licensing of the Board of Medical  
Quality Assurance an application for licensure as a graduate of  
a foreign medical school.

7. Respondent's certificate was issued pursuant to  
sections 2100 et seq. of the Code (Article 5. Foreign Medical  
Graduates).

8. Section 2102 of the Code provides in pertinent  
part:

"Any applicant who . . . is a United States  
citizen . . . whose professional instruction was  
acquired in a country other than the United States  
or Canada shall provide evidence satisfactory to the  
Division of Licensing of compliance with the follow-  
ing requirements in order to be issued a physician's  
and surgeon's certificate:

/

1           "(a) Completion in a medical school or schools  
2 of a resident course of professional instruction  
3 equivalent to that required by Section 2089 and  
4 issuance to such applicant of a document acceptable  
5 to the division which shows final and successful  
6 completion of the course.

7           ". . . . .

8           .. "Nothing in this section shall prohibit the  
9 division from disapproving any foreign school or from  
10 denying an application if, in the opinion of the  
11 division, the professional instruction provided by  
12 the medical school or the instruction received by the  
13 applicant is not equivalent to that required in  
14 Article 4 (commencing with Section 2080)."

15           9. Section 2036 of the Code provides in pertinent  
16 part that a "resident course of instruction" shall be interpreted  
17 to mean classroom, laboratory, practical, and clinical instruc-  
18 tion, received and given the person physically present, whenever  
19 prescribed as part of his or her instruction and for the period  
20 prescribed for such instruction.

21           10. Section 2084 of the Code requires the Division of  
22 Licensing to admit only applicants to the examination who comply  
23 with the requirements for certification as a physician and  
24 surgeon and allows the Division to consider the quality of the  
25 resident courses of professional instruction required for such  
26 certification.

27           11. Section 2089 of the Code provides:

1           "(a) Each applicant for a physician's and  
2 surgeon's certificate shall show by official trans-  
3 cript or other official evidence satisfactory to the  
4 Division of Licensing that he or she has successfully  
5 completed a medical curriculum extending over a  
6 period of at least four academic years in a medical  
7 school or schools located in the United States or  
8 Canada approved by the division. The total number  
9 of hours of all courses shall consist of a minimum  
10 of 4,000 hours. At least 80 percent of actual  
11 attendance shall be required.

12           "(b) The curriculum for all applicants shall  
13 provide for adequate instruction in the following:

14           Anatomy, including embryology, histology  
15           and neuroanatomy.

16           Anesthesia.

17           Biochemistry.

18           Child abuse detection and treatment.

19           Dermatology.

20           Geriatric medicine.

21           Human sexuality.

22           Medicine, including pediatrics.

23           Neurology.

24           Obstetrics and gynecology.

25           Ophthalmology.

26           Otolaryngology.

27           Pathology, bacteriology and immunology.



1 Pharmacology.

2 Physical medicine.

3 Phsiology.

4 Preventive medicine, including nutrition.

5 Psychiatry.

6 Radiology, including radiation safety.

7 Surgery, including orthopedic surgery.

8 Therapeutics

9 Tropical medicine.

10 Urology.

11 12. Respondent's certificate is subject to disciplin-  
12 ary action pursuant to section 2235 of the Code, in conjunction  
13 with sections 2102, 2036, 2084 and 2089 of the Code, in that  
14 respondent's certificate was issued by mistake, as follows:

15 A. Respondent did not complete in a medical  
16 school or schools a resident course of professional instruction  
17 equivalent to that required by section 2089 of the Code, as set  
18 forth in section 2102(a) of the Code.

19 B. The foreign medical school (Cetec University  
20 School of Medicine in the Dominican Republic, hereinafter  
21 referred to as "Cetec") which issued an M.D. degree to the  
22 respondent granted respondent advanced standing based upon  
23 medical education allegedly received by respondent at the  
24 College of Osteopathic Physicians and Surgeons (hereinafter  
25 referred to as "COPS") in Anaheim, California from 1975-1977 and  
26 a degree of Doctor of Medicine in Surgery (Osteopathic) allegedly  
27 obtained by respondent from said College in 1977. Advanced

1 standing based upon education received at a school of osteopathic  
2 medicine is unacceptable to the Division of Licensing in that  
3 such education is not equivalent to that required by section  
4 2089 of the Code.

5 C. The transcript allegedly issued by COPS and  
6 submitted by respondent as part of his application indicates  
7 COPS granted to respondent advanced standing based upon medical  
8 education allegedly received by respondent at a medical school  
9 in BAJA California. Such advanced standing is unacceptable to  
10 the Division of Licensing in that such education was not verified  
11 or substantiated and was not from a medical school approved by  
12 the Division.

13 D. The College of Osteopathic Physicians and  
14 Surgeons in Anaheim, California, at which respondent allegedly  
15 received medical education from 1975-1977 and a degree of Doctor  
16 of Medicine and Surgery (Osteopathic) in 1977, did not have  
17 valid authorization or approval as required by Education Code  
18 sections 94310 et seq. An injunction prohibiting COPS from  
19 operating as a private post-secondary educational institution  
20 was issued by the Los Angeles County Superior Court on November  
21 1, 1982. In addition, said College was not a medical school  
22 located in the United States or Canada which was approved by the  
23 Division of Licensing as set forth in section 2089 of the Code,  
24 and was not a school of osteopathic medicine which was approved  
25 by the Board of Osteopathic Examiners.

26 E. In his application dated November 30, 1981,  
27 and submitted to the Board of Medical Quality Assurance,

1 respondent indicated he attended CETEC and obtained a medical  
2 education from CETEC, from January 14, 1980, to September 15,  
3 1981. In truth and in fact, (1) respondent did not attend  
4 CETEC; (2) respondent did not obtain a medical education from  
5 CETEC; (3) respondent did not "apply" to CETEC until on or  
6 about August 1980; and (4) respondent was not admitted to CETEC  
7 until on or about October 1980.

8 F. As part of his application respondent  
9 submitted to the Board of Medical Quality Assurance a Certificate  
10 of Medical Education which purported to be signed by an official  
11 of CETEC stating that respondent enrolled in CETEC on January  
12 14, 1980. In truth and in fact, (1) respondent did not attend  
13 CETEC; (2) respondent did not obtain a medical education from  
14 CETEC; (3) respondent did not "apply" to CETEC until on or about  
15 August 1980; and (4) respondent was not admitted to CETEC until  
16 on or about October 1980.

17 G. Said Certificate of Medical Education states  
18 respondent "attended in this institution 6 courses of lectures  
19 of 18 weeks each totalling 72 weeks (2 years)". In truth and in  
20 fact, respondent did not attend in said institution, or in any  
21 other approved medical school, 6 courses of lectures of 18 weeks  
22 each totalling 72 weeks (2 years).

23 H. As part of his application respondent  
24 submitted a transcript from CETEC indicating respondent attended  
25 at CETEC the following medical school courses (which are clinical  
26 courses usually taken in the third and fourth year of medical  
27 school):

	<u>COURSE</u>	<u>SEMESTERS</u>	<u>COURSE CREDIT HOURS</u>
1			
2	Human Behavior III	4	4
3	Internal Medicine	5	10
4	Pediatrics	6	10
5	Obstetrics & Gynecology	6	10
6	Surgery	7	14
7	Family Medicine	8	10

8 In truth and in fact, respondent did not attend these  
9 classes at CETEC or at any other approved medical school.

10 I. Respondent knew, or with reasonable diligence  
11 should have known, during the period of time he was allegedly  
12 enrolled at CETEC he was engaged in a post-graduate residency  
13 program in Illinois in the specialized area of family medicine  
14 rather than being engaged in a resident course of professional  
15 instruction equivalent to a third or fourth year pre-graduate  
16 medical school program consisting of surgery, internal medicine,  
17 pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology and family medicine. Such  
18 residency training is not acceptable as equivalent to the third  
19 and fourth year pre-graduate medical school curriculum.

20 13. By reason of the facts alleged in paragraphs 12A  
21 through 12I above, respondent's certificate is further subject to  
22 disciplinary action pursuant to section 2235 of the Code in that  
23 respondent obtained his certificate by fraud or misrepresenta-  
24 tion.

25 14. By reason of the facts alleged in paragraphs 12A  
26 through 12I above, respondent's certificate is subject to  
27 disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234(e) of the Code in

1 that respondent is guilty of the commission of an act or acts  
2 involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially  
3 related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a  
4 physician and surgeon.

5           15. By reason of the facts alleged in paragraphs 12A  
6 through 12I above, respondent's certificate is subject to  
7 disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234(f) of the Code, in  
8 conjunction with section 480(a)(2) of the Code, in that the  
9 actions or conduct of the respondent would have warranted the  
10 denial of the certificate in that respondent has done an act  
11 involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to  
12 substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially  
13 injure another.

14           16. By reason of the facts alleged in paragraphs 12A  
15 through 12I above, respondent's certificate is further subject  
16 to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234(f) of the Code,  
17 in conjunction with section 480(c) of the Code, in that actions  
18 or conduct of the respondent would have warranted the denial of  
19 the certificate in that respondent knowingly made a false state-  
20 ment of facts required to be revealed in the application for  
21 such certificate.

22           WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held  
23 and following said hearing the Physician's and Surgeon's

24 /


25 /

26 /

27 /

1 Certificate No. A-38044, issued to respondent Richard Henry Cox  
2 be revoked.

3 Dated: November 3, 1983

4  
5  
6   
7 KENNETH J. WAGSTAFF  
8 Executive Director  
9 Board of Medical Quality Assurance

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Complainant

AJK:sol